UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,251	01/31/2007	Georg Roeder	05-646	1817
	7590 05/22/200 LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL S		DOAN, ROBYN KIEU		
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN,	CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/553,251	ROEDER, GEORG		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robyn Doan	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 (contemporaries</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 57-116 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 57-116 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2005</u> is/are  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the option of the latest the second of the latest that any objection to the latest that any objection that the latest that	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/6/05; 8/11/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 57, 58, 60, 61, 63, 77, 103-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karl (EP 0038524A2).

Karl discloses an applicator (figs. 2, 5) comprising a holding portion (1) and a hollow applicator portion (3) being formed by a casing which at least partially encloses a hollow space, a connecting layer being a mixing layer between the applicator portion and the holding portion, wherein the connection layer having a positively locking connection (9, fig. 5) between the applicator portion and the holding portion; the applicator having a plurality of structure elements (4) and being made of soft plastic material (page 6, lines 19-23) and the holding portion being made of harder plastic material. With regard to limitations "wherein the holding portion does not form a core extending into the hollow applicator portion", Applicant is noted that the device of Karl is the same as the final product of the instant invention, therefore it meets the claimed language; further, if Applicant intended to claim the process of making the device, Applicant is noted that such process step is not given patentable weight in an article

Art Unit: 3732

claim. In regard to claims 103-106, Karl discloses the process of making the device as claimed in claims 103-106.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 59, 62, 64-76, 78-101, 111, 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karl in view of Spatz (USP 4,635,659).

Karl discloses the essential claimed invention as discussed above except for the applicator portion tapers away from the holding portion and being made of rubber, the holding portion being made of thermoplastic material; the mixing layer being between 1/100mm and several 1/10mm thick; the applicator portion being bristles, slats, knobs, prongs extend perpendicular to the applicator surface between .1mm to 1mm and having a diameter between .4mm to .6mm; the casing having a thickness between .8mm and 1.3mm with a hardness between 5 to 45 Shore A. Spatz discloses an applicator head (fig. 2) being made of rubber material (col. 4, lines 35-37). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the material of Spatz into the applicator of Karl for the intended use purpose. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the mixing layer being between 1/100mm and

several 1/10mm thick; the applicator portion being bristles, slats, knobs, prongs extend perpendicular to the applicator surface between .1mm to 1mm and having a diameter between .4mm to .6mm; the casing having a thickness between .8mm and 1.3mm with a hardness between 5 to 45 Shore A, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size and shape of the known component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level or ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). And it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a thermoplastic material for the holding portion, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claim 102, 107-110, 113-116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karl in view of Hand (USP 2,140,009).

With regard to claim 102, Karl discloses the essential claimed invention as discussed above except for the holding portion having metal. Hand discloses a cosmetic applicator (fig. 1) comprising a holding portion (16) being made of metal (col. 2, line 12); the device further having a cap (8). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the holding portion of Karl with the metal material as taught by Hand as an alternative way of forming the holding portion. In regard to claims 113-116, Karl in view of Hand discloses the method recited in the claims during normal operational use of the device. In regard to claims

Application/Control Number: 10/553,251 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

107-110, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to inject the applicator portion onto the holding portion at a temperature between 30°C to 80°C. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct temperature between 30°C to 80°C, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morrison is cited to show the state of the art with respect to an applicator with a soft material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,251 Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robyn Doan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732